



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,092	06/15/2005	Robert Petermann	112701-626	9222
29157 7590 11/29/2007 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690			EXAMINER DEES, NIKKI H	
			ART UNIT 4174	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/539,092	Applicant(s) PETERMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Nikki H. Dees	Art Unit 4174	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>14 April 2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazer et al. (WO 96/31130) in view of WHO (Seventeenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. "Lactic acid and its ammonium, calcium, potassium, and sodium salts." World Health Organization Technical Report Series, 1974, No. 539).

3. Mazer et al. teach a nutritional formula providing calcium. The nutritional formula may be either a dry powder or liquid (p. 9). The nutritional formula is in the form of beverages having a pH of less than 4.6 (p. 10). Purified lactic acid is used as an acidulant in the beverages and beverage concentrate (p. 34). The direct addition of lactic acid may be used to further adjust the pH of the beverage concentrate (p. 42).

4. Mazer et al. teach sweeteners to be used in their invention, including sucrose, fructose, glucose and lactose (pp. 34-35). The use of one or more of these sweeteners would serve as a source of carbohydrates in the beverage.

Art Unit: 1794

5. A method of preparing the nutritional formula is taught in Example 2 (p. 42). A carbohydrate source (used in place of aspartame) is hydrated. The lactic acid is added prior to the sweetener. A lipid source (partially hydrogenated soybean oil) is present in the vitamin D3 emulsion (p. 33). This emulsion is added to the blend of sweetener and lactic acid.
6. Regarding claim 9 and the order of mixing the ingredients, the selection of any order of mixing ingredients is *prima facie* obvious. See *In re Gibson*, 39 F.2d 975,5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the order of addition of the ingredients in the beverage taught by Mazer et al. may be altered without having an adverse effect on the resulting beverage product.
7. Regarding claim 11, Mazer et al. teach that acidity is desired in the liquid nutritional product for several reasons, including controlling microbial growth (p. 13).
8. Mazer et al. are silent as to the use of L (+) – lactic acid in their beverage.
9. The WHO teaches that (DL) – lactic acid and D (-) – lactic acid should not be used in infant foods. This leaves only L (+) – lactic acid for use in infant foods.
10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized greater than 70% by weight L (+) – lactic acid in the beverage taught by Mazer et al in order to result in a beverage that may be marketed to the widest possible audience, including infants.

Art Unit: 1794

11. Claims 1, 3-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz (Schwartz, A.B. 1926. "The Use of Lactic Acid Milk in Infant Feeding." The American Journal of Nursing. Vol. 26, No. 12. pp. 927-932) in view of WHO (Seventeenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. "Lactic acid and its ammonium, calcium, potassium, and sodium salts." World Health Organization Technical Report Series, 1974, No. 539) with additional evidence provided by Wong et al. (Wong, Noble P.; Jenness, Robert; Keeney, Mark; Marth, Elmer H. 1999. Fundamentals of Dairy Chemistry (3rd Edition). (pp. 1, 82-83). Springer – Verlag).
12. Schwartz teaches milk acidified with lactic acid for the feeding of infants who are below normal weight. He states that modified milk for the feeding should contain "a proper proportion of fat (lipid), protein and carbohydrate" (p. 927).
13. Schwartz teaches the formula being directly acidified by the addition of USP lactic acid (p. 931).
14. Milk is known to contain proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. The proteins, in particular, comprise whey protein and casein, as shown by Wong et al. in Table 3.1.
15. Schwartz is silent as to the ratio of lactic acid enantiomers present in the composition, as well as the pH of the composition.
16. The WHO teaches that (DL) – lactic acid and D (-) – lactic acid should not be used in infant foods. This leaves only L (+) – lactic acid for use in infant foods.
17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the lactic acid nutritional formula for feeding

Art Unit: 1794

infants as taught by Schwartz with L (+) – lactic acid as taught by the WHO in order to result in an infant formula with higher acidity for improved digestion.

18. Regarding the pH of the nutritional formula, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have possessed the ability to measure and alter the pH of the composition as taught by Schwartz by adding more or less lactic acid in order to obtain a final product that was palatable while also achieving the desired effects with the lactic acid.

19. Claims 1, 3-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahata (4,212,893) in view of WHO (Seventeenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. “Lactic acid and its ammonium, calcium, potassium, and sodium salts.” World Health Organization Technical Report Series, 1974, No. 539) with additional evidence provided by Wong et al. (Wong, Noble P.; Jenness, Robert; Keeney, Mark; Marth, Elmer H. 1999. Fundamentals of Dairy Chemistry (3rd Edition). (pp. 1, 82-83). Springer – Verlag).

20. Takahata teaches an acidified whole milk beverage comprising whole milk and an organic acid (Abstract). Organic acids taught include lactic acid (col. 2 lines 32-36). The final pH of the beverage taught is within the range of 2.5 to 4.5 (col. 2 lines 25-27).

21. Milk is known to contain proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. The proteins, in particular, comprise whey protein and casein, as shown by Wong et al. in Table 3.1.

22. Takahata is silent as to the enantiomeric ratio of lactic acid present in his composition.

Art Unit: 1794

23. The WHO teaches that (DL) – lactic acid and D (-) – lactic acid should not be used in infant foods. This leaves only L (+) – lactic acid for use in infant foods.

24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized L (+) – lactic acid in the beverage taught by Takahata in order to result in a beverage that may be marketed to the widest possible audience, including infants.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikki H. Dees whose telephone number is (571) 270-3435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00 EST (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gwendolyn Blackwell/
Primary Examiner, AU 1794

Nikki H. Dees
Examiner
Art Unit 4174